

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tom** <XXXXXX@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 11:04 AM
Subject: Re: CYTR Draft
To: Richard Pearson <[XXXXXX]>

Every once in a while a company will be really picky. CYTR is one of them. Our other companies aren't nearly as bad.

Let me know when you submit.

Thx

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 12:59 PM, Richard Pearson <[XXXXXX]> wrote:
got it.

man oh man....those were extensive changes. he basically re-wrote about 25% of the article.

I don't really object or anything, was just surprised at how he even changed some of the small wording etc.

anyway, I'm on it.

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 10:33 AM, Tom <XXXXXX@gmail.com> wrote:
I would suggest using them. If you feel strongly about something you don't want to change, let me know. But I'll have to run it by Michael and then he will probably run it by the company. Not sure how long that will take.

I think the guy who makes the changes is David Haen, Biz Development. He can be a pain.

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 12:30 PM, Richard Pearson <[XXXXXX]> wrote:
wow....I have never had someone make so many edits to an article of mine.

it is all very specific stuff.

question: is it the case that I MUST use all of these edits....or are these just suggestions for me to consider ?

just let me know and I will proceed.

thanks

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 10:28 AM, Richard Pearson <[\[XXXXXX\]](#)> wrote:
Thanks.

Going through it now.

Who was it from CYTR that revised it ?

Just curious so that I know for future reference.

Was it Levitt ? He is the Chief medical officer, so would be best if it was him.

Thanks

Going thru changes now.

On Wed, Jan 29, 2014 at 9:46 AM, Tom <[XXXXXX@gmail.com](#)> wrote:
Rick,

Please see the revised CYTR draft. Please make the changes to yours and then submit.

FYI, CYTR is picky about articles so don't read too much into the changes. Happens to me as well.

Thanks,

Tom